	Michael A Marcheschi	1755	
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_	
(1) Michael A Marcheschi.	(3)		
(2) Eugene Perez (48,501).	(4)		
Date of Interview: 24 May 2004	Time:		
Type of Interview: ⊠ Telephonic □ Video Conference □ Personal (Copy given to: □ Applicant □	Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	0		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
aV			
Claims discussed: all			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: applicants agreed to an examiners amendment as set forth in the office action.			
Part III.			
☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowaldilly. ☐ it is not necessary for applicant to provide a provide supervisite record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all suchs. A bell summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
1, 1			
Mall			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if app	ropriate)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) Exami	ner Initiated Interview Summary	Pap	er No. 20040524

Application No.

09/756,140

Examiner

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Applicant(s)

UEDA ET AL

Art Unit